

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,318	10/03/2003	Medhat A. Toukhy	2003US310	9492	
26289	7590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
AZ ELECTRONIC MATERIALS USA CORP. ATTENTION: INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY DEPT.			SCHILLING,	SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
70 MEISTER AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SOMERVILL	E, NJ 08876		1752		

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2
		10/677,318	TOUKHY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Richard L. Schilling	1752	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	s
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
· · ·	<i>'</i> —	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		rits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or elements The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access The access are subjected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the		
11)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	le
2)	nt(s) Ce of References Cited (PTO-892) Ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) The No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		ı

Application/Control Number: 10/677,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims1-15 and 32-37, drawn to antireflection compositions, classified in class 524, subclass 101.

II. Claims 16-31 and 38-46 drawn to photoresist elements and processes classified in class 430 subclass 271.1.The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

The compositions of group I need not be used in photoresist elements as in group II but may be used, for example, as protective coatings in packaging material or antihalation layers in silver halide photographic materials. The elements of group II do not contain the coating solvents of group I

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,318 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Richard L. Schilling at telephone number 571-272-1335.

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP #100 /7